

## Microseismic processing for induced seismicity management at carbon storage sites

Joshua White, Eric Matzel, Christina Morency, Moira Pyle, and Dennise Templeton

Project Number: FWP-FEW0174-Task 1B & FWP-FEW0191-Task 2

Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory Carbon Storage R&D Review Meeting, Pittsburgh, 18 August 2015

### Program Goal No. 4

 Develop Best Practice Manuals for monitoring, verification, accounting, and assessment; site screening, selection and initial characterization; public outreach; well management activities; and risk analysis and simulation.

## Benefit Statement

- Induced seismicity hazards are a key concern for carbon storage.
- The goal of this project is to use advanced microseismic processing to better identify and characterize hazardous faults in the subsurface.
- If successful, this toolset can help operators rapidly respond to changing subsurface conditions. Timely identification and response is a key component of effective risk management.

## Three key hurdles to effective seismicity management:

 Faults are pervasive, and we rarely know where they are prior to injection.

• Even after injection, we are often not very good at recognizing hazardous faults.

2 The relationship between injection rate and seismic activity at a given site is complex.

• And we typically have very little time to figure it out.

③ The knobs we can turn to reduce seismicity are limited.

• And these often take significant time to have an effect.

#### Faster detection of previously unobserved faults can help lower seismic risk



#### Faster detection of previously unobserved faults can help lower seismic risk



At any site, there are two fault populations—known faults and unknown faults—that must be managed differently



## Microseismic processing toolkit



Key goal is to automate as much of this process as possible, to minimize the lag time between data aquisition and decision-making

## Task Status

1 Data-set acquisition and preprocessing

- 2 Active pressure management study
- ③ CCS-analog site studies
- (4) Illinois-Decatur study (USGS data)
- 5 Toolset packaging and deployment



## Staff

Seismology

- Eric Matzel
- Christina Morency
- Moira Pyle
- Dennise Templeton

Reservoir Eng.

Joshua White

## Ambient Noise Correlation



Figure: Schematic illustration of noise correlation principle from Weaver [2005].

# We can use ANC to develop 3D velocity and attenuation models at sites where good station geometry is available



Newberry data vs 3D model synthetics

**Current focus**: We are developing a 3D velocity model for Illinois-Decatur Project using data from the USGS surface / shallow borehole array.

Also exploring 4D potential of the method.

# Matched field processing can improve small event detection in noisy data



206 catalog events 217 MFP new events 24 STA/LTA new events

**Figure:** Detected microseismic events during Newberry Geothermal stimulation. Matched field processing (MFP) was able to identify twice as many events as industry-standard techniques.

# Matched field processing can improve small event detection in noisy data



**Figure:** Waveform data from USGS shallow borehole recording at the Illinois-Decatur Project. This event was large enough to be detected by both threshold triggering and template matching.

# Matched field processing can improve small event detection in noisy data

DP3

DP1

DP2

15

15

15

15



**Figure:** Waveform data from USGS shallow borehole recording at the Illinois-Decatur Project. This event was missed in the original USGS processing, but detected by MFP.

Improvements in focal mechanism estimation can help identify higher-risk scenarios and constrain state-of-stress



Focal mechanisms indicate a series of shorter *en echelon* fractures, not a single feature Focal mechanisms reveal slip direction parallel to the inferred fault trace, supporting a single feature

## We are combing the Virtual Seismometer Method with Adjoint Inversion to improve moment tensor estimation

- 1. Record microevents  $x_1^{j}$  and  $x_2$  at the (surface) seismometers
- 2. Cross-correlate waveforms of every source  $x_1^{j}$  with  $x_2$
- 3. Calculate strain rates of each event  $x_1^{j}$  as recorded by  $x_2$
- 4. Invert for moment tensor of  $x_2$







## Synergistic Opportunities

(1) Several demonstration projects are now collecting high-quality passive seismic data, providing new partnering opportunities.

2 Potential for two-way benefits:

- Opportunity for us to improve our analysis algorithms.
- We can potentially provide back to operators:
  - 3D (possibly 4D) velocity and attenuation models (ANC)
  - Re-processed event catalogs (MFP)
  - Re-located events with location uncertainties (BayesLoc)
  - Moment tensor analyses (VSM + AI)

## Summary

 Microseismic monitoring is essential to identifying and reacting to seismic hazards.

(2) Our recentwork has focused on new tools for extracting information about earth structure, state-of-stress, and fault behavior from noisy waveform data using state-of-the-art signal processing algorithms.

### ③ Ultimate goals:

- Integrate microseismic and rate / pressure data into a "real-time" processing toolkit to support Adaptive Risk Management.
- Think ahead to "Large-N" monitoring deployments.
- Help us get to gigatonne-scale storage safely and responsibly!

## Acknowledgements

- This work was performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy by Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory under Contract DE-AC52-07NA27344.
  Funding was provided by the DOE Office of Fossil Energy, Carbon Sequestration Program.
- We are grateful for data sharing and technical input from colleagues at the Bureau of Reclamation, the U.S. Geological Survey, AltaRock Energy, and many other industrial and academic partners.

## Contact

Joshua A. White
Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory

jawhite@llnl.gov

Eric Matzel

Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory

matzel1@llnl.gov

Appendix: Program Management





## Project Timeline for FEW0191

	Milestone Description*									End: Sept 30, 2017				Planned		Actual	Actual	Comment (notes, explanation of deviation
Task		Project Year (PY) 1					P	Y 2		PY 3				Start	End	Start	End	from plan)
		Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	Q8	Q9	Q10	Q11	Q12	Date	Date	Date	Date	
	Calibrate Reactive Transport																	
1.1	Model						х							1-Oct-14	30-Mar-15			
	Calibrate NMR Permeability																	
1.2	Estimates						x							1-Oct-14	30-Mar-15			
	Scale Reactive Transport																	
	Simulations from the core to																	
1.3	reservoir scale										x			1-Jul-15	28-Feb-17			
	Write topical report on CO2																	
	storage potential in carbonate																	
1.4	rocks												x	1-Dec-16	30-Sep-17			
	Algorithm development and																	
2.1	testing				х									1-Oct-14	30-Sep-15			
	Array design and monitoring																	
2.2	recommendations								х					1-Oct-15	30-Sep-16			
	Toolset usability and																	
2.3	deployment												х	1-Oct-16	30-Sep-17			
	Analysis of monitoring and																	
	characterization data available																	
	from the In Salah Carbon																	
	Sequestration Project				х									1-Dec-14	1			
3.2	Wellbore model development				х									1-Oct-14	30-Sep-15			
	Analysis of the full-scale																	
	wellbore integrity																	
3.3	experiments										х			1-Mar-14	28-Feb-17			
	Refining simulation tools for																	
	sharing with industrial																	
	partners												х	1-Oct-16	30-Sep-17			
	Engage with industrial																	Future tasks pending discussions with
4.1	partnerships		х											1-Oct-14	28-Feb-15			industrial partners
	Develop work scope with																	
4.2	industrial partners				х									1-Mar-14	30-Sep-15			<u> </u>

\* No fewer than two (2) milestones shall be identified per calendar year per task

## Bibliography

- (1) Matzel et al. [2014] Microseismic techniques for managing induced seismicity at carbon storage sites. Energy Procedia 63:4297-4304.
- (2) White and Foxall [2014]. A phased approach to induced seismicity risk management. Energy Procedia 63:4841-4849.
- ③ Buscheck et al. [2014]. Pre-injection brine production for managing pressure in compartmentalized reservoirs. Energy Procedia 63:.

Appendix: Backup Slides

### Basel EGS Data



Figure: Seismicity reveals several linear (fault) structures in the Basel EGS dataset.

#### Dynamic seismic forecasting and hazard assessment



**Figure:** Tool to estimate future event frequency as a function of injection rate. Dataset from the Basel Enhanced Geothermal Project.

## Creating a 3D model of the Newberry Geothermal Site



#### Ambient noise correlation

- 1 month of data
- o Depth resolution ~ 5 km
  - Vp, Vs, estimate of Qs